



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of)
Hicks et al.)
Serial No.: 09/767,110) **Patent Pending**
Filed: January 21, 2001) Examiner: Mr. J. Bret Dennison
For: **Method of Storing E-Mail Address**) Group Art Unit: 2143
Information on a SIM Card patent matter)
Attorney's Docket No: 4015-2035)
Raleigh, North Carolina
4 January 2005

Mail Stop AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Interview Summary

Examiner Dennison called Jennifer Stewart on 8 December 2004 to inform her that he had found another reference (US 6084969) and that the claims would be allowable if the ideas of claims 4 and 6 were incorporated into the independent claims. Specifically, Examiner Dennison proposed that the limitations of claims 4 and 6 be incorporated into independent claim 1, and that the limitations of claims 16 and 18 be incorporated into independent claims 15. Examiner Dennison asked if I would authorize an Examiner's amendment to make the proposed changes. I verbally authorized Examiner Dennison to make the proposed amendments.

On 17 December 2004, Examiner Dennison mailed a Notice of Allowance and an Interview Summary. In the Notice of Allowance, the Examiner incorporated the limitations of claims 4 and 6 into claim 1 and incorporated the limitations of claims 16 and 18 into claim 15, and cancelled claims 4, 6, 16, and 18. Examiner Dennison also corrected the dependencies for claims 5 and 17 and corrected a typographical error in claim 24. Lastly, Examiner Dennison added the language "from said first number of bytes" to both claims 1 and 15 to further clarify that the third byte is allocated from the first number of bytes. Applicant accepts these

amendments and agrees that they correspond to the substance of the Interview conducted via telephone on 8 December 2004.

In the Interview Summary, the Examiner states that we agreed to include the "limitations of claims 6 and 8 into independent claim 1," to include "the limitations of claims 16 and 18 into independent claim 15," and to cancel "claims 6, 8, 16, and 18." However, this is not correct. As stated above, we agreed to include the limitations of claims 4 and 6 into independent claim 1, and to cancel claims 4 and 6. The Notice of Allowance dated 17 December 2004 verifies this. Because the Notice of Allowance indicates that the correct amendments were made, Applicant believes the error in the Interview Summary is simply a typographical error. As such, Applicant requests that the Interview Summary made by the Examiner be corrected.

Applicant believes the above satisfies the requirements of the Interview Summary as required by MPEP §713.04, 37 C.F.R. §1.133, and 37 C.F.R. §1.2. Should any other issues remain, Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner contact the undersigned so that any such issues may be expeditiously addressed.

Respectfully submitted,

COATS & BENNETT, P.L.L.C.

By:


Jennifer K. Stewart
Registration No. 53,639
Telephone: (919) 854-1844

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS DOCUMENT IS BEING DEPOSITED WITH THE UNITED STATE POSTAL SERVICE, ON THE DATE INDICATED BELOW, AS FIRST CLASS MAIL, POSTAGE PREPAID, IN AN ENVELOPE ADDRESSED TO: **MAIL STOP AF, COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, P.O. BOX 1450, ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450.**

Signature: Kathleen Koppen

Name: Kathleen Koppen

Date: 1/4/05